



# Transmittal

To: Joel Glick  
Reedley Municipal Airport  
1733 9<sup>th</sup> Street  
Reedley, CA. 93654

Date: July 19, 2011

Re: Reedley Municipal Airport  
Apron Rehabilitation, Marking & Beacon Project  
FAA AIP Project No. 3-06-0196-20 (D) (C)  
CALTRANS Project No. FRE-23-XX-XX-XXX

---

Enclosed please find the following:

C&S Engineers, Inc. Response to Pre-Bid RFI No. 002 Received by R.J. Berry Jr., Inc.  
(Contractor):

- (1) PDF of Electronic File Response

---

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,

C&S ENGINEERS, INC.

Eric D. Garfield, EIT  
Construction Supervisor

Enclosures



To: City of Reedley, CA (Owner)  
R.J. Berry Jr., Inc. (Contractor)

File: H35.004.001

Below please find C&S Responses to Pre-Bid RFI No. 002 Ques. Received by R.J. Berry Jr., Inc.:

**Question #1:** With reference to Item 5, Clearing & Grubbing, there is no work within the area the plans show to Clear & Grub. Is it the Engineer's intent to have the contractor strip the existing weeds and re-grade this area?

Answer: Note on Plan Sheet G-101 that reads **“PROPOSED AREA TO BE CLEARED AND GRUBBED PER ITEM P-151”** is intended as follows: **THE EXISTING GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD AND VACANT HANGAR PAD SHOWN TO BE CLEARED AND GRUBBED WILL BE UTILIZED FOR CONTRACTOR ACCESS, STAGING DURING CONSTRUCTION, AND FOR TEMPORARY STOCKPILING OF MATERIALS DURING CONSTRUCTION. THESE AREAS NEEDS TO BE STRIPPED OF EXISTING WEEDS AND FINISH GRADED AS DIRECTED BY RESIDENT ENGINEER. ITEM P-151 QUANTITIES WILL BE UTILIZED TO STRIP THE EXISTING GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD TO PROPOSED BEACON LOCATION AS WELL.**

**Question #2:** If stripping is required in this area, does the City have a location on-site to dispose of the spoils?

Answer: **STRIPPING OF EXISTING WEEDS IS REQUIRED AND THERE IS A LOCATION ON-SITE TO UTILIZE FOR THE DISPOSAL OF WEEDS.**

**Question #3:** Is the entire length of the 2" Conduit shown on Sheet E-101 from the existing electrical vault to the location of the proposed beacon existing?

Answer: **YES, THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THE 2-INCH CONDUIT SHOWN ON PLAN SHEET E-101 FROM THE EXISTING ELECTRICAL VAULT TO THE LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED BEACON IS EXISTING. THIS EXISTING 2-INCH CONDUIT SHALL BE INTERCEPTED AT THE PROPOSED JUNCTION CAN ADJACENT TO THE PROPOSED BEACON LOCATION.**

**Question #4:** With reference to Section P152-4.2, Paragraph E, are the existing soils considered to be Cohesive or Non-Cohesive?

Answer: **WITH REFERENCE TO SPEC SECTION P-152-4.2, PARAGRAPH E, THE EXISTING SOILS I.E. SUBGRADES SHALL BE FIELD SAMPLED AND LAB TESTED TO DETERMINE IF THEY ARE COHESIVE OR NON-COHESIVE, UPON DETERMINATION CONTRACTOR SHALL MEET OR EXCEED COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS AS STATED WITHIN THIS SPEC SECTION.**